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MINTY’S LEGACY: A BLACK FAMILY IN SLAVERY AND FREEDOM

By Robyn N. Smith

Cries for freedom were still in the air when Minty, perhaps a nickname for Araminta, was born in about the year 1785. The American colonies had won the Revolutionary War for freedom from British rule, but that freedom did not extend to the hundreds of thousands of enslaved people who were laboring to produce their wealth. The U.S. Constitution, written in 1787, stealthily protected slavery in the states as well as the slave trade, while at the same time never once mentioning the word “slave” or “slavery.”

Minty was probably owned by Captain Benjamin Warfield, a Revolutionary War soldier who lived in Anne Arundel County, located in southern Maryland. To begin to understand something about Minty’s life, we must first understand slavery in the place and time in which she lived.

SLAVERY AND THE LIVES OF THE ENSLAVED

By the 1634 founding of Maryland, some European nations had been engaged in the trade in African slaves for almost 200 years. While slavery had existed in many societies all over the world, the slavery that slowly evolved on the North American mainland was unique: (1) it connected lifelong slave status with a “race” of
people, (2) children inherited their legal status from their mothers instead of their fathers, as they had in Europe, and (3) it transferred that status to the children of enslaved women in perpetuity, making slavery not just lifelong but hereditary.\textsuperscript{5}

From colonial times, labor-intensive tobacco was the main cash crop of Maryland. By the mid- to late 1700s, tobacco’s fortune was fading, the product of damaged soil and changing markets overseas. Only in southern Maryland counties such as Anne Arundel, Montgomery, and Prince George’s was tobacco still a major crop.\textsuperscript{6} Tobacco was a grueling crop to grow, and in the earlier years of the colony, slaves died in droves, exhausted and worked literally to death.\textsuperscript{7} Former slave Dennis Simms described farming tobacco in southern Maryland:

\begin{quote}
“We would work from sunrise to sunset every day except Sundays and on New Year’s Day…We had to toe the mark or be flogged with a rawhide whip, and almost every day there was from two to ten thraashings given on the plantation to disobedient Negro slaves. When we behaved, we were not whipped, but the overseer kept a pretty close eye on us. We all hated what they called the ‘nine ninety-nine,’ usually a flogging until [the slave] fell over unconscious or begged for mercy…We were very unhappy.”\textsuperscript{8}
\end{quote}

In addition to the extremely harsh work regimen, slaves were rarely adequately fed, housed, or clothed. Former slave Richard Macks described his living conditions:
“...I lived with my mother, father and sister in a log cabin built of log and mud, having two rooms; one with a dirt floor and the other above, each room having two windows, but no glass...We had nothing to eat but corn bread baked in ashes, fat back and vegetables raised on the farm; no ham or any other choice meats; and fish we caught out of the creeks and streams...we [also] hunted coons, rabbits and opossum...”

Although the experience of enslaved people depended greatly on time and place, there was a constant: brutality. Designed to control the large numbers of people needed as laborers, it also was designed to impress upon them their inferiority and undermine their humanity. Former Anne Arundel County slave Leonard Black recalled how he was hired out to various masters over the years, where he experienced devastating cruelty. While working for Mr. Bradford, he recalled that:

“Mrs. Bradford ordered me one day to take a bushel of corn upstairs, and when I was unable to do it...she knocked me down with the Johnny-cake board, cutting my head so badly that I bled more than a quart...Mrs. Bradford had a son about ten years old; she used to make him beat me and spit in my face.” [Another slaveholder] didn’t believe in whipping his slaves but instead stripped them naked, tied them to a board...and then drew a cat by her tail down their backs.”

Enslaved people did not have legally recognized marriages and they were sold, mortgaged, and gifted to others. Enslaved women were at the mercy of the white male population and were frequently sexually abused. The rape of slaves was not considered a crime; it was viewed as the privilege and prerogative of the slaveowner. The need to protect that sexual abuse explains the creation of a society where legal status flowed through the mothers, the legal doctrine called *partus sequitur ventrem*. Otherwise, the numerous children produced by this circumstance would have been free.

In the first decades of the 1800s, especially after the African slave trade was outlawed in 1808, Maryland and other states of the Upper South began to export slaves. During this “domestic” slave trade, over one million enslaved people were sold by traders to the newly opened southern and western lands. This had a disastrous effect on black families in Maryland, many of whom by then were second and third generation American-born. Leonard Black recalled how his “mother and sister were sold and taken to New Orleans, leaving four brothers and myself behind.” Former Maryland slave Josiah Henson recalled the trauma of sale when his owner died:

“...we were all put up at auction and sold to the highest bidder, and scattered over various parts of the country. My brothers and sisters were bid off one by one, while my mother, holding my hand, looked on in an agony of grief, the cause of which I but ill understood at first, but which dawned on my mind, with dreadful clearness, as the sale proceeded. My mother was then separated from me, and put up in her turn...”
ESCAPING SLAVERY

Enslaved people fought back from the very beginning, and running away was the most prominent form of resistance. Frederick Douglass and Harriet Tubman, probably two of the most famous runaways, fled from Maryland. With its proximity to the free state of Pennsylvania, Maryland had an active Underground Railroad network. Large numbers of free blacks, Quakers and Methodists in the state meant there were many people willing to risk their lives to help runaways.

The Chesapeake Bay, with its many rivers and waterways, offered the chance for runaways to escape by boat. Some slaves managed to escape with a master’s horse and some later escaped on trains, but the vast majority of runaways escaped by foot. Most traveled at night, and many followed the North Star to find their way. Former slave Caroline Hammond, from Anne Arundel County, shared her story of escape:

“A Mr. Coleman whose brother-in-law lived in Pennsylvania, used a large covered wagon …mother and father and I were concealed in [it] drawn by six horses. On our way to Pennsylvania, we never alighted on the ground in any community…fearful of being apprehended by people who were always looking for rewards. After arriving at Hanover, Pennsylvania, it was easy for us to get transportation further north.”

The road would not be easy and most runaways were caught and returned to their owner, many brutally whipped or sold to the Deep South as punishment. Slaveowners offered rewards for
return of their property and a thriving industry of slave-catchers and kidnappers existed.\textsuperscript{20} Still, runaway ads attest to the fact that even after punishment many slaves ran away again and again.\textsuperscript{21} Slaves also employed a variety of other techniques to resist their enslavement.\textsuperscript{22} They feigned illness, broke tools, ran away temporarily, and some, like Frederick Douglass, physically confronted their oppressors.\textsuperscript{23}

In spite of it all, enslaved people demonstrated resilience. They created their own communities and formed bonds of kinship with other slaves on neighboring farms. They retained knowledge of African traditions and beliefs and created new ones out of their American-born roots. They had their own music, folklore, mourning rituals and foodways. These unmistakable signs of autonomous culture are evidence of the resistance that enslaved people practiced within the narrow confines of slavery.\textsuperscript{24} The development of this unique culture surely provided some cushion to the unimaginably tragic life of most enslaved people.

THE WARFIELD FAMILY

The Warfield family was one of the founding families of Anne Arundel and Howard counties, Maryland. Along with the Dorseys, Riggs, Snowdens and Ridgelys, the Warfields formed an elite class.\textsuperscript{25} Central to that life were enslaved people like Minty, whose labor created the wealth that their power was predicated upon. Slavery historian Ira Berlin has written widely about the transformation of the American South into a true “slave society.” With slavery as the primary mode of economic production, elaborate customs and laws defined all aspects of southern society. Strict gender, race, and class boundaries existed, with the male slaveowner sitting atop the apex of all relationships.\textsuperscript{26} Though only about one-third of antebellum southern white families owned slaves,\textsuperscript{27} those that did comprised a majority of American Presidents, Supreme Court Justices, Governors, and members of the Congress. Southern slaveowners were also the wealthiest men
Their power, if not absolute, was dominant economically, socially, and politically.

Captain Benjamin Warfield, Minty’s likely owner, lived in a rural area of northern Anne Arundel County. He earned that title leading the Elkridge Militia in 1778 during the Revolutionary War. He and his wife, his first cousin Catherine Dorsey, had four sons: Beale, Benjamin, Philemon, and Joshua. In 1798, in the first federally mandated property tax, Captain Benjamin was assessed for three tracts of land totaling 681 acres, a 24-by-24 foot frame house he named “Cherry Grove,” a log kitchen, log meat house, log stable, and outhouse. Warfield family history states that “there was a row of log slave cabins on the crest of the hill north of the farm buildings,” and that when [the slaves] were freed in 1864, they “were allowed to move their cabin[s] off the property.” The J. P. Tarenz Log House, a Maryland Historic Site, purports to be “one of the original slave quarters of nearby Cherry Grove moved after the Civil War.”
In 1798, Captain Benjamin Warfield was also assessed for eight slaves,\textsuperscript{34} nine by 1800.\textsuperscript{35} In that year, there were already over 100,000 slaves in Maryland, with almost 10,000 in Anne Arundel County.\textsuperscript{36} Captain Benjamin’s slaves were cultivating tobacco. “Two generations…”explained a Warfield descendant, “rolled their tobacco to Elk Ridge.”\textsuperscript{37} This was a reference to the early history of the area, when barrels of tobacco were rolled down the “rolling roads” to the port on the Patapsco River.\textsuperscript{38} The Patapsco River, and to a lesser extent Annapolis, would likely have been the place where local slave owners purchased African slaves from captains plying the slave trade earlier in the century.\textsuperscript{39}
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Though he left a detailed will,\textsuperscript{40} Captain Benjamin Warfield’s estate left no surviving inventory when he died in 1806. Minty was later owned (and probably was inherited by) Captain Benjamin’s son Beale Warfield.\textsuperscript{41} Beale was a veteran of the War of 1812 along with his brother Philemon.\textsuperscript{42} Beale married his first cousin, Emily
“Amelia” Ridgely. They built a home they called Springdale. The house no longer stands, but was described as being built “down by the spring,” upon the approximately 400 acres that Beale’s mother Catherine inherited from her father, Captain Philemon Dorsey. Beale is referred to as “an intelligent man, a writer of deeds and wills, [who] held the dower of his mother,” in a biography of county founders written by a Warfield descendant in 1905. Beale Warfield lived on property he inherited from his father Benjamin. The 1798 federal direct tax describes this property containing a “20-by-18 foot log dwelling house, 16-by-14 log kitchen, 18-by-14 log stable, and a log meat house.”

In 1810, Beale was enumerated as the owner of 8 slaves. When Beale died without a will in 1815, his brother Philemon was appointed administrator of his estate. Beale’s property was divided between his three children: Catherine, George, and William. Thirty-year-old Minty was assessed in the inventory of Beale’s personal property and valued at $300. Her likely son, Perry, was two years old and valued at $75.

Beale was running an active farm as were his brothers Philemon and Joshua, who lived nearby. His inventory lists 7 horses, 9 cows, 6 head of cattle, 20 head of sheep, 21 head of hogs, and 13 pigs. Beale’s slaves were farming tobacco, wheat, and oats. Along with Minty and Perry, Beale owned seven other slaves at his death: Joe, Sam, Jim, Suckey, Charlotte, Harriet, and Dennis. It was Beale’s ownership of these slaves that accounted for slightly more than half of his estate’s value: a little more than 62% percent out of a total of $3,100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negro Man named Joe aged 30 years</td>
<td>$230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro lad named Sam aged 18 years</td>
<td>$280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro boy named Perry aged 2 years</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro boy named Jim aged 2 years</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro Woman named Minty aged 30 years</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro Woman named Suckey aged 15 years</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Beale Warfield Inventory
PERRY SIMPSON

Perry was born about 1813. As Perry grew to manhood, the handful of slaves he labored with still grew tobacco along with wheat and other cereal crops. Although the fate of Minty is unknown, after Beale Warfield’s death, Perry was inherited by Beale’s son, William R. Warfield. In 1836, William purchased land from his two siblings, Catherine and George, land referred to as “inherited and derived from their grandfather William Ridgely” and “their father Beale Warfield.” In the years 1840, 1850, and 1860, census records show that William R. Warfield owned between 8 and 9 slaves.

About 1838, Perry Simpson met and presumably married a free black woman named Louisa, born about 1817. By 1830, there were 4,076 free blacks in Anne Arundel County. Freedom could be obtained in several ways: enslaved people could run away, they could be manumitted by their owner, they could purchase their freedom, or they could be born free. Although an 1805 law required freed blacks to register their freedom with the county clerk, no known freedom certificate or manumission in Howard or Anne Arundel County illuminates Louisa’s roots, thus, her maiden name and how she became free is unknown. She does not appear as head of household on the 1840 population census nor does she appear in the 1832 list of free blacks for Anne Arundel County.

Maryland was unique as a southern slave state because almost 60 percent of blacks in the state—over 83,000 people—were free before the Civil War. Thousands had been freed during and after the Revolutionary War, but it was primarily the shift in Maryland’s agriculture away from tobacco and towards cereal crops that accounted for the large numbers of free blacks. Cereal crops did not require the year-long labor that could justify the cost of keeping an enslaved workforce. In rural counties like Anne Arundel, most free black women like Louisa did domestic work
or worked alongside enslaved people in the fields. Some free black men worked in a skilled occupation, such as carpentry or blacksmithing, but most free black men were farm laborers.

Although they were important to the economy of the state, free blacks lived under severely restricted rights meant to impress upon them white dominance and racial inferiority. They lived under great suspicion by the larger white society and were viewed as a challenge to the institution of slavery.

![Figure 4. Martha Simpson and three of her children](image-url)
Free blacks were often referred to as lazy and indolent, and were considered a bad influence on their enslaved brethren. Unable to testify against whites, they needed to maintain good relationships with whites who could serve as protectors if they ran afoul of the law. They were constantly at risk for arrest as runaways and could be sold at auction into slavery. Other restrictions included loss of their right to vote in 1801. The lives of free blacks, though better than slavery, were always tenuous.

In 1850, Louisa Simpson’s household was enumerated in the Howard District of Anne Arundel County, Maryland. Louisa could read and lived in a neighborhood with other free blacks. Her husband Perry does not appear in Louisa’s household. Enslaved by William R. Warfield, he lived nearby.

Given their close proximity, Perry may have been allowed to sleep with his family after his day’s work was completed. Louisa probably died by 1860, when she no longer appears in the census.

Perry and Louisa had at least six children together. The five eldest were named Harriet, Mary, James, Joseph, and Martha. In the name of the youngest daughter was a reminder of how enslaved people retained ties and memories of their forebears: Minty.
The issue of slavery came to a head in the tumultuous 1850s and led to Civil War in April 1861. The slave state of Maryland remained in the Union during the war. By the time the Civil War began in 1861, most of Perry and Louisa’s children were living in neighboring Montgomery County. Montgomery County’s citizens were divided in their loyalties along with the state itself. However, the presence of large numbers of southern sympathizers so close to Washington assured that the county would be occupied by federal troops early in the war. Although no major battle occurred in Montgomery County, both armies marched through the county and skirmished with one another.

Almost 200,000 former slaves and free black men served in Union forces to secure their freedom. Over 150 black men from Montgomery County were drafted or voluntarily enlisted.
of Perry and Louisa’s sons, Joseph and James, were drafted but did not serve. The presence of the Union Army and the proximity of Washington, D.C., which freed its slaves in 1862, undermined the institution of slavery in Maryland. Slaves who remained with their owners began to refuse to work without pay. The *Christian Recorder*, the newspaper of the African Methodist Episcopal (A.M.E.) Church declared on July 12, 1862 that “two hundred able-bodied slaves in Maryland have laid down their hoes and refuse to be held in bondage any longer. They offer violence to no one, but they refuse to be compelled to work for others without compensation.” An October 1863 article from the *Washington Evening Star* reported from a meeting of Union Men in Montgomery County that, “It must now be plain to all that slavery in Maryland is already a dead carcass. No lot of one hundred slaves in the State can be made to render to his owner more of his labor than he elects to render, or to remain in his jurisdiction a month after he elects to flee from them.”

A war that began as a war to save the Union became by 1862 a war also for emancipation. By that time, it was clear to Lincoln that the Union could not be saved without also ending slavery. Because Maryland had not seceded, Maryland’s slaves were not freed by the Emancipation Proclamation. They were freed by the narrowest of margins by Maryland’s new state constitution in November 1864. Perry and his fellow slaves were now legally free. In 1864, William R. Warfield died. “Perry G Simpson,” age 50, was included in a list of slaves that his heirs created in 1867 in the hopes that the state of Maryland would compensate them for the loss of their property. That never happened. Slavery had at long last been destroyed.
After emancipation, freedmen from Maryland counties and elsewhere poured into both Washington, D.C. and Baltimore to find work. Both cities had large black populations; by 1870, the black population in Baltimore was 39,556 and even larger in Washington, D.C. at 43,404. In the cities, black institutions—churches, social groups, lodges, schools, beneficial societies, and newspapers—flourished. Most blacks worked as servants and laborers with a small number forming a professional class. Given nothing at emancipation, however, many blacks still lived in extreme destitution.

MARGARET FLEET

Perry Simpson married Margaret Fleet in Washington, D.C., on 8 September 1863. Margaret had never been married, but had at least seven known children, all probably fathered by a Mexican immigrant named Gregory Jarvis. Margaret was the granddaughter of Henry Fleet, Sr., a prominent free black shoemaker from Georgetown. He appeared often in the records of the city, taking in boys to apprentice in his trade, as well as his own son, Henry Jr.
Margaret was an enterprising young woman in her own right. She worked as a dressmaker, a skilled occupation that she taught to two of her daughters.96 In 1864, Margaret was assessed $25 in federal taxes as a “retail liquor dealer,” a business she ran apparently at her home at 21st and K Streets.97 In 1870, Margaret owned $1,000 of real estate, a rarity for blacks, and probably a result of both her dressmaking skills and her family’s long history as free blacks and landowners.98 Her 100-year-old Virginia-born mother Sarah was also living in her household. Margaret opened an account at the Freedman’s Bank on 26 June 1873, naming her husband Perry and her seven children on the signature card.99 In January 1879, she purchased 25 acres in Montgomery County, Maryland,100 and moved there to join her husband.101 The couple both lived there until their deaths; Perry in 1887102 and Margaret in 1910.103
In their lifetimes, Perry, Louisa, and Margaret witnessed almost the entire nineteenth century and raised a combined 13 children. Since Minty’s birth over two hundred and thirty years ago, her descendants have included farmers and ministers, carpenters and merchants, teachers and principals, federal government clerks and railroad and postal workers. Many of the Simpson descendants were landowners and several were prominent educators during the earliest years of black education. Most were actively involved in their local churches and fraternal and social organizations.

Perry and Louisa’s lives were greatly defined by the boundaries placed on both enslaved people and free blacks during the nineteenth century. Their descendants would fight racial discrimination, second-class citizenship, and Jim Crow for at least another hundred years. Enslaved people endured the long nightmare of slavery and created a culture that survived long after the bonds of slavery were broken. Their story—Minty’s story—in some ways was just beginning.
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